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BACKGROUND:

Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) have the potential to allow civil, public, commercial, and government agencies to increase efficiency, save money, enhance safety, and even save lives. A broad range of applications and services seek to integrate these platforms into non-segregated airspace.

In order to safely and seamlessly integrate these platforms into non-segregated airspace, both a robust Detect and Avoid (DAA) and robust and secure Command and Control (C2) Data Link capability need to be established.
The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) established the Unmanned Aircraft Systems Integration Office to integrate Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) safely and efficiently into the National Airspace System (NAS).

To achieve this objective, the UAS Integration Office and major UAS Stakeholders are working closely with the UAS community to develop the Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for DAA equipment, with emphasis in an initial phase of standards development on civil UAS equipped to operate into Class A airspace under IFR flight rules. The Operational Environment for the MOPS in Phase One is the transitioning of a UAS to and from Class A or special use airspace, traversing Class D, E, and G airspace. The Operational Environment for the MOPS in Phase Two is 1) extended UAS operations in Class D, E, and G airspace, 2) take-off and landing operations in Class C, D, E, and G airspace, and 3) transit through Class B airspace. Ground operations remain out of scope.

Moreover, the UAS Integration Office is working closely with the UAS community to develop the performance standards for the C2 Data Link. An initial phase of standards development will provide standards for the C2 Data Link using L-Band Terrestrial and C-Band Terrestrial data links. A second phase of standards development will provide 1) material regarding appropriate content for service level agreements between UAS operators and satellite operators, 2) UAS design and operational considerations for use of SATCOM, and 3) a unified methodology and example of a link budget to support applicants through certification and/or operational approval.

DELIVERABLES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground-based Non-Cooperative Radar MOPS</strong></td>
<td>MOPS for a ground-based non-cooperative radar to support the Phase Two DAA MOPS.</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAA MOPS, DO-365A</strong></td>
<td>Revision to the DAA MOPS that incorporates at least the Ground-based Non-Cooperative Radar MOPS including architectural considerations and operational concepts.</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
<td>Rev A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airborne EO/IR Sensor MOPS</strong></td>
<td>MOPS for an alternative sensor to detect and track non-cooperative aircraft in support of the Phase Two DAA MOPS.</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air-to-Air Radar MOPS, DO-366A</strong></td>
<td>Revision to Airborne Radar MOPS (DO-366, Rev A) in support of the Phase Two DAA MOPS.</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Rev A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAA MOPS, DO-365B</strong></td>
<td>Revision to the DAA MOPS that incorporates at least DO-366, Rev A including architectural considerations and operational concepts, as well as ACAS XU (SC-147).</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Rev B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2 Link Systems MASPS</strong></td>
<td>This MASPS will provide system performance requirements for end-to-end C2 link systems. This material is specifically intended for delivery to ICAO to support their development of Standards and Recommended Practices (SARP) in preparation for World Radio Conference 2019. This will provide full analysis for (at a minimum) two Use Cases supporting industry near-term demand.</td>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2 Link Systems MASPS Rev A</strong></td>
<td>This MASPS will provide system performance requirements for end-to-end C2 link systems. Specifically, it will provide full analysis for all remaining Use Cases not provided in the initial release.</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2 Link System MASPS (SATCOM)</strong></td>
<td>This MASPS will provide system performance requirements for Ku and Ka band SATCOM based C2 Link Systems. It will contain material on service level agreements as well as methodology and example(s) for link budget analysis.</td>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2 Data Link MOPS (Terrestrial), DO-362A</strong></td>
<td>This revision to the C2 Data Link MOPS (Terrestrial) will address: 1) any required updates resulting from ongoing TACAN / DME compatibility testing, 2) any required updates to harmonized shared use of C band between terrestrial and SATCOM systems, 3) any required updates to augment the original point-to-point MOPS description to include multiple access techniques and 4) any other updates to clarify or correct shortcomings identified while the document is open for changes.</td>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>Rev A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


SCOPE:
Detect and Avoid MOPS – Phase One – Completed July 2016

- The scope of DAA Working Group activities during Phase One of standards development will be to specify and validate UAS DAA Equipment performance requirements for civil UAS participating in the Operational Environment described above and performing the missions described above. In order to meet the schedules this Terms of Reference specifies, appropriate and continued focusing of DAA Working Group activities will be required.
- The Phase One DAA MOPS will be developed assuming that the requirements for UAS DAA operation while the UAS is in Class A airspace will be specified outside of the MOPS (e.g. through rulemaking) and is not part of this TOR.
- In developing the Phase One DAA MOPS, the DAA Working Group may consider, only if necessary to establish a satisfactory safety case for UAS operation, an allocation of DAA requirements between the UAS and the ground subsystem associated with the UAS.

Detect and Avoid MOPS – Phase Two

The focus of Phase Two activities for Detect and Avoid will be to develop performance standards for a broad range of civil UAS capable of operations Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS). These operations, at a minimum will take place in Class D, E and G, for the entire duration of a flight (i.e., extended operations) and will include take-off and landing operations in Class C, D, E, and G airspace, as well as transit through Class B airspace. Three development efforts are envisioned for Phase Two are enumerated in the White Paper; MOPS for a ground-based sensor, MOPS for an airborne sensor, and an update to the DAA MOPS (Rev A and Rev B) to incorporate new technology and operational concepts. Below is additional guidance for consideration used in developing the White Paper for DAA Phase Two:

- Geographically limited operations and operations within a terminal environment should be considered to include; Class D airspace, towered airfields within Class E airspace, non-towered airfields within Class E airspace, non-towered airfields within Class G airspace, take-off and landing operations in Class C, D, E, and G airspace, transit through Class B airspace, and off-airfield launch and recovery sites within Class G airspace. It is expected that this will lead to the development of MOPS for a ground-based non-cooperative radar.
- Technologies to enable UAS with less available Size, Weight, and Power (SWaP) should be considered. It is expected that this will lead to the development of a MOPS for a non-cooperative sensor.
- A collision avoidance capability that operates in the absence of a C2 Datalink will be included as part of a class of DAA equipment to support an airborne DAA architecture in order to remove the DAA dependency on the C2 Datalink.
- The White Paper elaborated potential Visual Operations that could be enabled with a Phase Two DAA Capability. It is assumed that all UAS-DAA operations will be conducted under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) to be flown on published procedures (i.e. SIDS, STARS and approaches). Approaches shall be limited to precision IFR straight in
procedures (i.e. ILS, GLS). Visual approaches including “charted visual approach procedures”, arrivals and departures are not within scope of this TOR.

- Operations in other classes of airspace were considered in the White Paper where the specific operations and the enabling DAA capability can be detailed
- Very Low Level (VLL) operations, which includes operations exclusively below 500 ft AGL in any Class of Airspace (D, E, or G), are not within the scope of Phase Two DAA MOPS
- Ground operations by UAS are not in scope of Phase Two DAA MOPS.
- In order to meet the schedules this Terms of Reference specifies, appropriate and continued focusing of DAA Working Group activities will be required.

SC-228 will work in close collaboration with SC-147 in the design, development and standardization of the Airborne Collision Avoidance System for UAS (ACAS XU). ACAS XU is considered a key enabler to achieving the full scope of the Phase Two DAA capabilities and to the full integration of all types of UAS into the NAS. An Inter-Special Committee Requirements Agreement (ISRA) will be established to facilitate the interoperability of Phase Two DAA equipment with ACAS XU.

**Considerations for DAA MOPS – Phase Two Development**

Experience with the development and implementation of standards reinforces the need to identify areas that require operational evaluation. For example, it is important that phase 2 equipment provide the necessary level of safety for use in all airspace, including the expanded scope of operations into Class B and C airspace. This is crucial because a target level of safety had not been identified nor defined for the DAA system. It must be clear that the standards would ensure that the system would adequately mitigate safety risks of the intended function.

- It is anticipated the FAA will establish the conditions under which DAA equipment would be sufficient for the intended operation in the National Airspace System (NAS). This may include policy and regulatory changes and the development of advisory materials for the use of the DAA equipment.
- It is anticipated that the DAA applicant would need to provide validating data that installed DAA equipment will meet its intend performance goals within a given operational environment (i.e. class of airspace) including the validation of a fully functioning and continuously available command and control link (C2) to support the DAA system. This data should demonstrate that operations with a DAA system by a UAS will not degrade the level of safety of other NAS users.
- It is anticipated the FAA or other safety oversight organizations will conduct a comprehensive operational safety analysis (e.g. Safety Risk Management Panel). The outcome of this safety analysis will be used to determine required equipage and any necessary operational mitigations for the operational implementation of the equipment built based on the DAA MOPS, and subsequent issuance of the airworthiness and operational approval.
C2 Datalink – Phase One – Completed July 2016

The focus of the C2 data link will be to provide the command and control function as part of safe operations within the NAS. During a period of transition to the FAA’s digital voice switch network, a secondary capability will be included on the C2 Data Link for ATC voice communications relay. It is expected that this voice communications relay function will no longer be included in the C2 Data Link once the digital voice switch network is fielded in the NAS.

International Telecommunications Union (ITU) has identified multiple spectrum bands as candidates for use for this C2 Data Link. These include:

- L-Band Terrestrial
- C-Band Terrestrial
- SATCOM in multiple bands

For safe UAS flights in the NAS, there is the need to define, establish performance characteristics, and validate and verify the developed data link standards. Such efforts will confirm the need for such an allocation of the spectrum.

As the Phase One C2 Data Link MOPS does not contain requirements for SATCOM, UAS operations using the Phase One deliverables of SC-228 will involve having any use of satellite Data Link in those operations covered through bilateral agreements between the operator and the FAA.

In developing the Phase One C2 Data Link MOPS, the C2 Data Link Working Group discussed in detail in its White Paper deliverable which Data Link protocol levels will be defined in the MOPS. Application-level message formats will be specified (as opposed to how message payloads are framed).

Complete C2 systems engineering tasks:

- Develop system architectures / performance allocations to C2 architectures, with particular focus on how differing DAA allocations may impact required C2 performance. What are the differing performance levels for different DAA architectures? Specification of the performance levels to support the DAA Architecture of the Phase One DAA MOPS will be the top priority here.
- Evaluate / determine point-to-point and network C2 architectures that must be supported.
- Evaluate potential for sharing waveform structure between L band and C band solutions to reduce certification.
- Evaluate potential / value of simultaneous operation of L band and C band to improve system performance.
- Evaluate potential / value of physical integration of L band and C band into a common radio for SWAP advantage. Or do we have L band alone, and joint L band / C band
variant? Small UAS may need L band alone, larger UAS will need both and might want a single LRU.

Determine preferred MOPS structure

- Determine if a single MOPS (with L band and C band unique characteristics in appendices) is preferable to the approach of two separate MOPS
- Determine the preferred approach for ground radio requirements – Included in airborne MOPS, a separate ground MOPS, or 2 ground MOPS (L band and C band), or in a form other than MOPS.

Complete MOPS

- Determine / document the frequency allocation scheme that is adequate to support anticipated system capacity and performance.
- Determine / document physical layer waveform requirements
- Determine / document network waveform requirements.
- Determine / document upper level services that need to be co-located in the C2 LRU.
- Determine / document external interfaces.
- Write performance verification.

C2 Data Link — Phase Two Activities

Phase Two activities are focused on extensions to point-to-point architectures addressed in Phase One to address Beyond-Radio-Line-of-Sight (BRLOS) applications and architectures. The primary focus as envisaged in the original Terms of Reference (TOR) is on Satellite Communication (SATCOM) architectures. This will include multiple bands of application, to include selected Ku and Ka sub-bands, and possibly C band allocations. Additionally, standards for network architectures and performance (SATCOM and terrestrial based) may be developed. Finally, updates / refinements to C2 MOPS (Terrestrial) are anticipated to implement changes consistent with requirements from other standards developed during this phase.

Additionally, there is a need to address emerging requirements driven by changes in the UAS market needs and how they impact required C2 performance and implementation limitations. Specifically work done in Phase 1 (and foundational work by RTCA Special Committee 203) focused on larger aircraft, with operational sizing, anticipated size/weight/power (SWAP). There is a need to support smaller UAS, operating BRLOS, which have significant SWAP limitations and are anticipated to be deployed in larger numbers than considered previously.

C2 Data Link White Paper

The Phase Two C2 Data Link White Paper addressed the following topics:

1. Assumptions and boundary conditions in developing performance standards for Satellite Communications (SATCOM) C2 systems. At World Radiocommunication Conference 2015 there were a number of fielded SATCOM systems in Ku and Ka bands identified as
potential candidates for UAS SATCOM based C2. The White Paper addressed performance considerations upon which to build a safe and efficient C2 system. Additionally, the Special Committee will assess progress toward fielding a proposed C Band UAS C2 constellation to determine if there is sufficient maturity within project timeline to include C Band in the standard. If so, this task would consider coordination strategies between Phase 1 and Phase 2 C2 MOPS (Terrestrial), as they share the same spectrum allocation with SATCOM in C Band.

2. Assumptions and boundary conditions in developing performance standards for UAS C2 multiple access networks. This task spanned both potential communications architectures, SATCOM and terrestrial based. There were multiple use cases considered, including: 1) multiple aircraft communicating through a common ground or satellite transmitter, and 2) single aircraft sequentially transiting through a series towers in a closed network through a series of tower handoffs.

3. Concept of operations and operating environment description for smaller UAS operating at lower altitudes. In recent years there has been rapid development of interest in commercial UAS operations with smaller UAS operating at lower altitudes than envisaged when the original SC-228 TOR was developed. This results in the need to assess several key assumptions from earlier work of SC-228 and SC-203, to include: 1) greater aircraft densities per unit of area, 2) lower available power from the aircraft for radio transmission, and 3) potential to significantly reduce frequency planning radii due to lower altitudes of planned operations.

ENVISIONED USE OF DELIVERABLES

While SC-228 deliverables can be used by the UAS industry, the primary intent of these deliverables is for FAA (and potentially other civil aviation authorities) use in integrating UAS safely and efficiently into the NAS (or other civil airspace), with operational deployment of UAS with certified DAA and C2 Data Link equipment as soon after Phase One MOPS completion as is practical. It is the FAA’s intent to invoke the MOPS through Technical Standard Orders (TSOs) and Advisory material. The work efforts leading to these products are being informally coordinated with EUROCAE and the ICAO UAS Study Group, among other bodies, to facilitate harmonization.

SPECIFIC GUIDANCE:

The following provides specific guidance that should guide all work within SC-228:

- DAA and C2 Data Link recommendations and standards will be based on the premise that UAS will safely and seamlessly integrate into the NAS.
- The white papers provide initial insight into the work being performed to establish performance standards for integrating UAS into the NAS. Contents of the white papers should include the following:
  - Background
Scope of this RTCA Activity
What is addressed in this MOPS
What is not addressed in this MOPS
What are the assumptions of this MOPS
Establish a set of core operational requirements that can be referenced
What is the approach to developing the MOPS
What is the Safety Methodology that will validate the MOPS
What are further RTCA activities (if any) expected to occur after approval of this MOPS
MOPS development schedule
Resource requirements
Issues
List of dissenting opinions on white paper contents (if any)

- SC-228 will be provided UAS stakeholder guidance on an ongoing basis by an RTCA SC-228 Steering Committee. The Steering Committee will meet on a regular basis to review DAA and C2 MOPS progress and focus and to ensure, along with SC-228, that stakeholder priorities are being addressed. SC-228 should in particular consult frequently with the Steering Committee while preparing the White Paper deliverables enumerated above.

- A work plan for SC-228, which will be coordinated with the SC-228 Steering Committee, will be established to ensure that requirements and performance needs are documented and coordinated between the C2 Data Link Working Group and the DAA Working Group (SC-228 might find the ISRA format helpful).

- The Working Group products will be developed using the RTCA MOPS Development Guidelines and considering as appropriate the methodology described in the RTCA MASP5/SPR development guidelines and the accelerated standards development methodology used by RTCA SC-186. The committee will conduct studies and analyses of current and planned capabilities of the NAS to evaluate and present various alternatives for use in development of future products.

- Without distracting from the Phase Two guidance above or delaying the schedule, SC-228 will coordinate with other RTCA special committees and stakeholder groups tasked with the development of enabling systems and technologies such as:
  - RTCA SC-147 and SC-186
  - EUROCAE WG 73 and WG 75
  - ICAO RPAS Panel
  - ASTM F-38
  - ISO TC-20
  - NATO Flight in Non-Segregated Airspace (FINAS) Working Group

SC-228 should review, in developing its deliverables, the following documents:

1) RTCA DO-320 - Operational Services and Environmental Definition (OSED) for Unmanned Aircraft Systems
2) FAA Concept of Operations for UAS
3) FAA UAS Road Map
4) DoD Concept of Operations for UAS – when available
5) UAS ARC Road Map
6) UAS ARC Recommendations for Small UAS
7) SC-203 DO-XXX – expected in June 2013
8) Change recommendations for FAR 91.113 -- when available
9) NextGen Unmanned Aircraft Systems Research, Development and Demonstration Roadmap (UAS RD&D Roadmap) Version 1.0. (JPDO)
10) UAS-related ICAO documents
11) UAS-related NATO documents
12) Small UAS documents from ASTM
13) UAS-related SAE documents

TERMINATION:

Special Committee 228 will terminate its activity when the Program Management Committee (PMC) approves the committee’s final document. Any change/extension of the committee’s work program requires prior PMC approval.